BENJAMIN A. GILMAN, New York, Chairman Emeritus JAMES A. LEACH, IOWA DOUG BEREUTER, NEBRASKA CHRISTOPHER H. SMITH, New Jersey DAN BURTON, INDIANA **ELTON GALLEGLY, CALIFORNIA** 

AROLINA

WALKER ROBERTS

IEN G. RADEMAKER

TY STAFF DIRECTOR

FORNIA

NIA

## One Hundred Seventh Congress

HOWARD L. BERMAN, CALIFORNIA GARY L. ACKERMAN, New York ENI F.H. FALEOMAVAEGA, AMERICAN SAMOA DONALD M. PAYNE, NEW JERSEY **ROBERT MENENDEZ, New Jersey** SHERROD BROWN, OHIO

CYNTHIA A. MCKINNEY, GEORGIA EARL F. HILLIARD, ALABAMA BRAD SHERMAN, CALIFORNIA ROBERT WEXLER, FLORIDA JIM DAVIS. FLORIDA

ILLANA HUS-LUM (INDM, 17.0 CASS BALLENGER, NORTH C DANA ROHRABACHER, CALI EDWARD R. ROYCE, CALIFOR PETER T. KING, New York

COMMERCE OF SMICHBELLING ROLLENGEL,

House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515

Telephone: (202) 225–5021

ELICAL ENGEL, NEW YORK WILLIAM D. DELAHUNT, MASSACHUSETTS GREGORY W. MEEKS, New York BARBARA LEE, CALIFORNIA JOSEPH CROWLEY, New York JOSEPH M. HOEFFEL, PENNSYLVANIA EARL BLUMENAUER, OREGON SHELLEY BERKLEY, NEVADA GRACE F. NAPOLITANO, CALIFORNIA ADAM B. SCHIFF, CALIFORNIA DIANE E. WATSON, CALIFORNIA

> ROBERT R. KING DEMOCRATIC STAFF DIRECTOR

PETER M. YEO DEMOCRATIC DEPUTY STAFF DIRECTOR JOHN M. McHUGH, New York RICHARD BURR, NORTH CAROLINA JOHN COOKSEY, LOUISIANA THOMAS G. TANCREDO, COLORADO **RON PAUL, TEXAS** NICK SMITH, MICHIGAN JOSEPH R. PITTS, PENNSYLVANIA DARRELL E. ISSA, CALIFORNIA ERIC CANTOR, VIRGINIA JEFF FLAKE, ARIZONA BRIAN D. KERNS, INDIANA JO ANN DAVIS, VIRGINIA

AMO HOUGHTON, New York

THOMAS E. MOONEY STAFF DIRECTOR/GENERAL COUNSEL

DAVID S. ABRAMOWITZ DEMOCRATIC CHIEF COUNSEL

DEPUTY STAF

JOHN

November 29, 2001

Congress of the United States

he Honorable David M. Walker omptroller General eneral Accounting Office 11 G Street, N.W. Room 7000 ashington, D.C. 20548

ear Mr. Walker:

Much of the popular press overseas, often including the government-owned media, daily depict e United States as a force for evil, accusing this country of an endless number of malevolent plots gainst the world. This image of the United States is more than a mere irritation. It has a direct and gative impact on American interests, not only by undermining our foreign policy goals but also by dangering the safety of Americans at home and abroad. It is difficult to understand how it is that the ountry that invented Hollywood and Madison Avenue should have such trouble promoting a positive nage of itself and its policies overseas. Clearly, this problem has not emerged suddenly but has been silding for decades. The question facing us is what can we do to correct the situation.

The United States has a range of programs that constitute our public diplomacy efforts, cluding public affairs officers stationed at U.S. embassies overseas who work with host country media itlets, academic and professional exchanges with 140 countries, and radio services broadcasting to ople around the world in their languages. While these and other efforts have been galvanized by the rrorist attacks of September 11 to help fight the international war on terrorism, it would appear that e problem is too great and too entrenched to be solved by current efforts alone.

Given the importance of this issue, we request that the General Accounting Office perform a mprehensive review of our public diplomacy efforts. We are asking the General Accounting Office examine the effectiveness of our programs in promoting a positive image of the United States and its reign policy and to examine what additional measures may be needed to enhance them overseas. pecifically, we ask that you address the following questions:

er CC

W

D

in

in

- What is the full range of U.S. public diplomacy programs and activities currently available to the United States through the Department of State and other agencies? What resources are devoted to these efforts and how are resource allocation decisions made? How effective are efforts within and between agencies to coordinate these separate public diplomacy efforts?
- What impact has the merger of the U.S. Information Agency with the State Department had on the mission and resources dedicated to public diplomacy and the conduct of public affairs? What initiatives are planned or underway that would make the current programs more effective? In the current staffing and organization of our embassies and consulates and other offices overseas, do the requisite expertise and resources exist to carry out an effective and sustained public diplomacy program in the host countries' print and electronic media? What additional resources, if any, might be needed to accomplish this task?
- How has the effectiveness of international broadcasting been measured in the past and what, if any, new methods are planned? What methodologies are currently employed in identifying target groups and conducting audience surveys? How is the information thus generated incorporated into planning and programming?
- What new approaches, including technical innovations, should be considered for more effective message delivery? How effectively are television, AM and FM radio, the Internet, and other electronic media currently being utilized? What are the plans to more effectively utilize these media? What are the barriers to achieving greater access to the populations in other countries, including access to private and government-owned print and electronic media?
- How do the obstacles, opportunities, and available resources of U.S. public diplomacy efforts differ from region to region, especially by major ethnic groups? Does the Muslim world present special difficulties regarding the delivery, reach, and effectiveness of U.S. public diplometries. Are there successful programs administered by other countries or private sector organizations that can serve as a model for U.S. public diplomacy efforts in these areas?

vices

gof

ries

- Is there a sufficient number of foreign language specialists available to the broadcast ser and the public affairs offices in embassies worldwide to adequately support our public diplomacy efforts? Is there sufficient interagency cooperation in the training and sharing language specialists?
- What opportunities and methods exist to improve U.S. public diplomacy efforts in count ruled by regimes hostile to the United States and its policies?
- Are there useful lessons to be learned from the private sector, especially those experience operating in other countries and cultures, regarding reaching target audiences and development of the program content for those audiences? How can the private sector be better utilized in the

nneasured by ennanced appear to target and the endourse programming, as hat extent is contracting selected functions of audiences and persuasiveness of message? To with the endourse programming as audiences and persuasiveness of message? To with the endourse programming as audiences and persuasiveness of message?

our public diplomacy efforts to the private sector in the United States and other countries necessary or advisable in order to ensure the development and delivery of more effective programming?

We recognize that this is a broad request with many potential issues meriting detailed analysis. Nevertheless, the Committee would appreciate a preliminary report on U.S. programs and activities and the resources devoted to U.S. public diplomacy efforts by the spring of 2002. The more complete assessment of the effectiveness and reach of U.S. public diplomacy and broadcasting efforts, and options for improving both, can be completed at a later date. Please contact Doug Seay of the Committee staff on (202) 225-5043, if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

HENRY J. HYDE Chairman TOM LANTOS
Ranking Democratic Member